

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,908	07/03/2001	Johannes W.F. Majoor	ISAA0030	9572	
7	7590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER		
Glenn Patent Group 3475 Edison Way Suite L			HIRL, JOSEPH P		
Menlo Park, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121	2121	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application N .	Applicant(s)		
09/898,908	MAJOOR, JOHANNES W.F.		
Examin r	Art Unit		
Joseph P. Hirl	2121		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Faranta a	A 4 11 - 14					
zororo uno i ming er un rippeur ziror	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the c rrespondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS $ ilde{A}$							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or ereply must be filed within one of the control of th	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an $\epsilon$	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		may to bolow or accor	.00.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).					
		ν <sub>1</sub> γγ )					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Many alphabet characters were missing from the Remarks and Amendment to the Claims sections rendering particularily the Remarks section, in parts, difficult to read. However, in the spirit of compact prosecution, the examiner has attempted to "read through" the missing characters and to intrepret the applicant's response. Applicant is encouraged to review the Final Office Action, dated November 16, 2004, pages 6-7, paras 8-10. Transaction time is event time (216, function time) with condition portion 210 related to Fig. 10B. Applicant is encourage to remember that the examiner has the obligation to intrepret each claim in the broadest reasonable manner. Hence "rules identifying each of the alarm conditions" is equivalent to "one or more versions of a rule." Fehskens changes rules as identified in Fehskens, Fig. 10B and further explained @ c 41, 39-53. Fehskens alarm rule structure remains constant but the rule itself changes. Final Office Action, para 9 applies. Examiner is not making an Official Notice but merely explaining how the given prior art reference applies. Again, examiner's obligation to intrepret the claims in the broadest reasonable manner must be fully appreciated by the applicant..